Carried a C.

Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Washington, D.C.

In the matter of:

The Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings | Docket No. and Ephemeral Recordings | 2005-1 CRB DTRA (Webcasting Rate Adjustment Proceeding)

Volume 8

Room LM-414 Library of Congress First and Independence Ave,, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20540

Thursday, May 11, 2006

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JAMES SLEDGE, Chief Judge THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. ROBERTS, JR., Judge THE HONORABLE STAN WISNIEWSKI, Judge

NEAL R. GROSS

APPEARANCES

On Behalf of Sound Exchange

DAVID A. HANDZO, ESQ
JARED O. FREEDMAN, ESQ
PAUL M. SMITH, ESQ
Jenner & Block
601 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 1200 South
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 639-6060
dhandzo@jenner.com

GARY R. GREENSTEIN, ESQ General Counsel SoundExchange 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 330 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 828-0126 greenstein@soundexchange.com

On Behalf of National Public Radio Inc. (NPR), NPR Member Stations, CPBQualified Public Radio Stations

DENISE B. LEARY, ESQ 635 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington DC 20001 202.513.2049 dleary@npr.org (202) 513-2049

On Behalf of Collegiate Broadcasters Inc. (CBI)

WILL ROBEDEE 6100 South Main Street MS-529 Houston TX 77005 (713) 348-2935 willr@ktru.org

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

On Behalf of Royalty Logic, Inc.

KENNETH D. FREUNDLICH, ESQ. Schleimer & Freundlich, LLP 9100 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 615 - East Tower Beverly Hills, California 90212 (310) 273-9807 kfreundlich@earthlink.com

On Behalf of Intercollegiate
Broadcasting System Inc., Harvard Radio
Broadcasting Co. Inc.

WILLIAM MALONE, ESQ
Miller & Van Eaton PLLC
1155 Connecticut Ave., NW
#1000
Washington DC 20036-4306
202.785.0600
wmalone@millervaneaton.com

On Behalf of Digital Media Assoc.
(DiMA), AOL, Live365, Microsoft Corp.,
Yahoo! Inc., National Public Radio

KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQ Weil Gotshal & Manges LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores CA 94065 (650) 802-3100 kenneth.steinthal@weil.com

KRISTIN KING BROWN, ESQ Weil Gotshal & Manges 1300 Eye Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 682-7024

NEAL R. GROSS

On Behalf of AccuRadio, Discombobulated LLC, Digitally Imported Inc., myradio.com LLC, Radioio.com LLC, Radio Paradise Inc., 3WK LLC, Educational Media Foundation

DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQ Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 450 Washington DC 20005 202.508.6656 davidoxenford@dwt.com

On Behalf of The National Religious
Broadcasters Noncommercial Music License
Committee, Bonneville International Corp.,
Clear Channel Communications Inc., Salem
Communications Corp., Susquehanna Radio
Corp., The National Religious Broadcasters
Music License Committee

BRUCE G. JOSEPH, ESQ
KARYN ABLIN, ESQ
MATT ASTLE, ESQ
MARGARET RYAN, ESQ
SETH WOOD, ESQ
Wiley Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 719-4913
bjoseph@wrf.com

8-4

I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS:	DIRECT C	ROSS REDIR	RECRO
Mark Robert Eisenber By Mr. Steinthal By Ms. Brown	rg 6	348 101 355	359
<u>EXHIBITS</u>		IDEN	RECD
Services No.:			
*41 - 6/5/03 Memo, 3 *42 - 7/15/03 Memo			104

NEAL R. GROSS

^{*}Exhibits falling under protective order

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	(9:38 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Good
4	morning. We'll come to order.
5	MR. SMITH: We will call Mr.
6	Eisenberg at this time, our next order of
7	business.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
9	Before you sit, if you'll raise your right
10	hand.
11	Whereupon,
12	MARK ROBERT EISENBERG
13	was called as a witness by counsel for Sound
14	Exchange and, having been first duly sworn,
15	was examined and testified as follows:
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right,
17	sir.
18	MR. SMITH: Shall I proceed, Your
19	Honor?
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes, sir.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. SMITH:

1	Q Would you state your full name
2	for the record?
3	A Mark Robert Eisenberg.
4	Q And where are you currently
5	employed?
6	A Sony-BMG Music Entertainment.
7	Q What position do you currently
8	hold with Sony-BMG?
9	A I'm the Executive Vice President
10	for Business and Legal Affairs for the
11	Global Digital Business Group.
12	Q How long have you held that
13	position?
14	A Since the merger of the company
15	in August of 2004. Sony-BMG is a joint
16	venture between what was formerly Sony Music
17	Entertainment, Inc. and Bertlesmann Music
18	Group, and upon the merger in August of
19	2004, the companies created a Global Digital
20	Business Group, and I became the EVP for
21	Business and Legal Affairs at that time.
22	Q Okay. Now, is Sony-BMG one of

1	the four major record companies?
2	A Yes, it is.
3	Q Can you tell us about the artists
4	that are included in your catalogue?
5	A Sure. We have a broad array of
6	artists, both what we call on roster
7	artists, artists who are still recording for
8	us, as well as masters that are in the bulk
9	that we continue to exploit, artists who
10	Bob Dylan, Billy Joel, Janis Joplin, Elvis
L1	Presley, Alan Jackson, Alicia Keys, many,
L2	many, many more.
L3	Q Now, where did you work prior to
L4	the formation of the Sony-BMG joint venture
L5	in 2004?
L6	A I was a Senior Vice President of
<u>.</u> 7	Business Affairs for Sony Music
8	Entertainment, Inc.
L9	Q And how long were you with Sony?
20	A I was at Sony Music beginning in
21	1994.
22	Q I think you said you work on

1	something called the Global Digital Business
2	Group. What is that?
3	A It's a at the time of the
4	merger we placed all of the responsibilities
5	for exploiting the catalog in what we called
6	Global Digital Business Group. So instead
7	of having a service department which
8	licensed and did the digital distribution
9	deals for our sound recordings, we actually
LO	had our own special unit which was solely
1	responsible for digital revenues at the
.2	company.
.3	Q And what are your
.4	responsibilities within that group?
.5	A I'm responsible for negotiating,
.6	structuring and drafting the deals, me along
.7	with my team.
.8	Q Okay, and what deals are you
.9	referring to?
0.	A Licensing deals for Internet
1	radio, for streaming services, both
2	noninteractive and interactive, for digital

1	download, for personalized and customized
2	radio, for mobile distribution of ring tones
3	and ring back tones and personalization
4	products, for video streaming, for video
5	downloads, essentially the whole gamut of
6	exploitation that isn't tied to the physical
7	configuration.
8	Q Okay. Now, are you a lawyer, by
9	the way?
10	A Okay. How long have you been
11	working in the area of digital distribution
12	of recorded music?
13	A Since about 1997 or '98.
14	Q And that was at Sony where you
15	began?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What role did you play in that
18	area when you were at Sony before the joint
19	venture?
20	A I started out at Sony as a lawyer
21	in the traditional route doing artist
22	contracts and negotiations and in the area

around the time 1997 or so, I started to
specialize in new technology and business
development, and at that time headed up the
licensing efforts of the company.

Q Now, if we could look at the time period from 1994 when you began at Sony to the present, what kinds of changes, if any, have you seen in the way the recording industry operates?

A Well, there have been a number of changes in the industry in general. First and foremost, there has been a lot of contraction, shrinkage in the industry in terms of personnel as a result of the loss of revenue and sales of our traditional recorded music product. So we've lost, you know, probably between 25 and 33 percent of our staff over the years as a result of that.

We also look for the radio streams now more than ever because it's not only about the physical configuration, which

1	was our bread and butter product in years
2	past, but it is about licensing revenue and
3	diversified income streams, and in that
4	regard what we used to regard as promotion
5	is now looked at as another vehicle for us
6	to license our catalogue and monetize those
7	efforts.
8	Q Okay. Let's focus first on the
9	reduction in sales of physical products.
10	You are referring there to CDs primarily?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And if you could, could you give
13	us a sense of the magnitude of the reduction
14	in sales of products over the recent years?
15	A Well, from let's say the period
16	1999 through 2005, our net product, net
17	revenues have declined by a factor of about
18	21 percent in that period, and that covers
19	both the advent of the digital world; so
20	digital income is included in that 21
21	percent drop on a net basis.
	1

What entities are you talking

Q

1	about there when you refer to a 21 percent
2	drop in revenue?
3	A That's the revenue for the
4	combined company Sony-BMG, and that of
5	our product sales essentially. So physical
6	product and digital product.
7	That number also sort of masks
8	the scenario of the problem because in that
9	intervening period between '99 and 2005, BMG
10	acquired Zomba catalogue, which had, you
11	know, a significant market share. It was
12	the biggest independent at the time, and,
13	you know, if they had the copyrights of
14	Britney Spears and Backstreet Boys and
15	N'Sync and Usher or Usher actually may have
16	been already at BMG, but it was a very
17	significant catalogue that they had.
18	So that 21 percent decline
19	includes the point in time where they
20	actually acquired additional copyrights and
21	commercialization.

Q Now, do you have a sense from

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	your work in the industry of where the
2	revenues are going to be going in future
3	years?
4	A Well, from what I see, the
5	physical configuration continues to decline
6	year over year, and so we're looking to the
7	digital world to really make up for and
8	hopefully surpass what we're making in the
9	physical world currently.
10	Q Do you have a sense from your
11	work on the digital side whether, if and
12	when that will actually happen?
13	A Right now we're still playing
14	catch-up. So I remain an optimist at heart,
15	but right now it's very challenging. If you
16	just look at 2004 to 2005, for example, our
17	net revenues declined by \$100 million at the
18	company. That's taking the growth of
19	digital into account and balancing that
20	against the loss of the physical, and we're
21	still at a new shortfall in the U.S. of

about \$100 million.

1	Q Now, have there been changes in
2	Sony-BMG's business practices as a result of
3	the decline of sales as you just described?
4	A Yes. I mean, for one, it's
5	becoming very, very important to us to focus
6	on licensing and digital distribution
7	activities more than ever. As I mentioned
8	before, in the past, everyone was really
9	focused on the singular product that we had,
10	which was the album of an artist and the
11	physical format.
12	Now it's more important to
13	diversify your revenue streams as best you
14	can and seek out every dollar that you can,
15	and that includes promotional efforts. So,
16	for example, whereas we used to give some of
17	our product away, maybe a single or a CD
18	sampler, we would give away in the hopes of
19	selling a bigger configuration, the album,
20	down the road. Now we actually charge for
21	our promotional efforts.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

And there are companies that

realize and recognize the value of our
product and our music and are willing to pay
for it.

Q Now, you said that promotion is changed. How is promotion done how focusing on the digital space? What kinds of promotion do you see now for sales of recorded music?

A Well, on the Internet it's a very contextual environment. So what you'll do is try to do promotional efforts with the big portals, for example. So in AOL or Yahoo or at MSN, you will try to get premium placement on a home page or on their main music page or on a welcome screen, and you get those impressions in front of people so that they get, you know, kind of exposure to the artist and perhaps even a sampling of the music.

We've particularly done that with music videos where we've licensed our video catalogue, which traditionally has been used

1	for promotional purposes. Now they're all
2	licensed on a commercial basis and we get
3	these premium placement and premium
4	impressions as a result of it.
5	Q What do you mean by "premium
6	impressions"?
7	A When you sign onto your website,
8	let's say you're a Yahoo subscriber and you
9	want to check your E-mail in the morning.
10	That first page that hits you is very, very
11	important. It's like the centerfold of a
12	magazine or, you know, the premium spot
13	during a super bowl for an advertiser, and
14	it's very competitive to get those
15	placements. So we are able to negotiate
16	with the service providers and with the
17	portals for placement. They pay us for that
18	because we essentially sell or license our
19	music or access to our artists for money
20	plus the promotional consideration.
21	Q Now, in your role as head of the
22	group that negotiates Sony-BMG's digital

1	deals, are you familiar with the various
2	voluntary deals for digital distribution
3	that the company has made over the recent
4	years?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And can you generalize about the
7	factors that Sony-BMG considers in deciding
8	whether to enter into deals with particular
9	digital distributors and at what price?
10	A Sure.
11	Q I'm going to put up a chart and
12	see if that helps you explain the factors,
13	Mr. Eisenberg.
14	A Would it be all right if I sit up
15	and point to thank you.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Try not to
17	turn your back to the microphone is our main
18	concern.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
20	These are some of the factors
21	that we consider in negotiating construction
22	of our deals. The first one and very

1	important one is the value that the music
2	has both intrinsically to the end user or to
3	the consumer and also to the potential
4	distributor of the music.
5	And by that I mean the following.
6	The end user has a certain value or
7	recognizes a certain value in our product,
8	and sometimes he's willing to pay for it and
9	other times it's give it to him for free.
10	However he consumes that product it has a
11	potential to displace other uses that he or
12	she might have of our product. So we have
13	to essentially negotiate with our potential
14	distributors based upon the end user's value
15	of that music to him or her.
16	BY MR. SMITH:
17	Q What kinds of features of digital
18	deals affect the value of the music to the
19	consumer?
20	A Well, for example, if something
21	is permanent, if something is very narrowly
1	1

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

tailored to his or her taste, if something

1	is portable, meaning you can listen to it
2	any time, anywhere, as opposed to having to
3	be wired and connected to the Internet to
4	listen to it, those will have different
5	value propositions for the end user.
6	Q How about value to the potential
7	distributor? What are you referring to
8	there?
9	A There we're talking about how
10	does the distributor or essentially our
11	customer make money off of our music. In
12	the traditional world you would have the
13	wholesale price and the fiscal configuration
14	and your retailer would mark it up and
15	resell it. That happens oftentimes in the
16	digital space, for example, with the digital
17	download.
18	But there are many examples where
19	the potential distributor recognizes
20	additional income besides that which is
21	specifically tied to the resale of the

product. For example, a lot of the

1	potential distributors on the Internet have
2	other businesses. Some are service
3	providers like ISPs. Some are telecos, and
4	they're looking to use music to attract an
5	audience to get a big group of people into
6	their community in which case they can
7	monetize in different ways, either through
8	subscription services like an ISP DSL line
9	or dataing services, games that they sell to
10	the consumer, E-card sites.
11	And so what we try to do is take
12	a look at how the potential distributor is
13	using our music and then price our music
14	based upon the value that the distributor is
15	making of the content.
16	Q Now, what's the next factor you
17	have up there, healthy business models? Can
18	you explain that?
19	A Sure. I mean, as we migrate from

a one product company that is the physical product to a proliferation of products, it's important for us to establish long-term

20

21

1	healthy business models, and we are in a
2	nascent world right now, that being
3	Q A what kind of world?
4	A A nascent.
5	Q Nascent world.
6	A Nascent, well, in terms of
7	digital distribution. If you think of, you
8	know, the evolution of the iPod, that
9	happened in April of 2003. That's not too,
10	too long ago, and there are a lot of other
11	business models besides just permanent
12	downloads. There's Internet radio, there's
13	streaming, there's interactive streaming,
14	there's listens, there's pay per views,
15	there's advertisement supported, there's
16	subscriptions, and it's important for us to
17	establish models that set our company up for
18	the future because in the long run we're not
19	going to be selling music in the way that we
20	sell it today. So we have to make sure that
21	taking into account our current cost
22	structure and what we hope to make on our

music that we establish long-term models for 2 the future. Can you give us some examples of 3 0 4 business models that you might not think of as healthy business models for the future? 5 I mean, there are oftentimes, 6 7 again, in a nascent market where a potential distributor will come to us and say, "Well, 8 9 I really don't have a business plan here. 10 think distributing your music over the 11 Internet is really cool. Why don't you just 12 give us your music for a year and we'll work it out later?" without any business model in 13 14 place. 15 That may be great for the consumer in order to enjoy our music, but 16 that's not good for us. On the flip side, 17 18 there may be instances where a distributor 19 might say, "Still don't have a business 20 model in place, but why don't I just pay you

a one-time fee and someone can have all of

the music for a year?"

21

22

That one time fee might be a lot of money for calendar year 2006, but it doesn't establish a long term business model for us. So we have to look at the per unit rates, the revenue shares, and the long term, you know, sustainability of the business.

Q Okay. Now, the next factor up there is the desire to avoid commoditization of the music. Can you tell us what you mean by that?

A Yeah. In some respects the way you make monetization ties back to what is the value of the music to the potential distributor. If an AOL or MSN or a Yahoo or a teleco is making a lot of money off of our content, it's hard for us to say, you know, the content is worth X cents or X dollars or X micro payment cents per unit because you look at what the end value is to the potential distributor.

The monetization also comes into

NEAL R. GROSS

8-24

play when you talk about the types of
recordings that you're offering to the end
user. Not all music is priced alike. If
you go into Circuit City or Best Buy and you
go through the album bins, you'll see
products priced differently. Top sellers
and hip product, super star acts are priced
higher than developing artists or cutout
artists, and
Q What's a cutout artist?
A An artist who has been cut out is
an artist who we're no longer promoting for
sale in our active catalogue. So we still
have rights to that artist, but we're not
actually in the marketplace actively
soliciting accounts for the sale of those
recordings.

It's important that we avoid the commoditization for our artists as well because the super star artist, first of all, we pay a lot more than a developing artist, and it's worth a lot more to the consumer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

	Q	Now,	what	are	some	exam	ples	of
terms	and	digita	ıl dea	ıls t	hat y	ou t	ry t	:0
achie	ve th	at wou	ıld mi	nimi.	ze co	mmod	itiz	ation
of th	e mus	ic?						

A Well, with respect to the first part, the potential distributor, we take a revenue share of its distributors' receipts. So if the distributor is getting, you know, a dollar, that plays into what our pricing structure is with respect to that distributor.

With respect to how sound recordings are sold vis-a-vis the charter, for example on the iTune service, if you look at how albums are priced, we have different price categories for our albums just like we do in the physical world. So you have budget, mid-price, and top line for album sales. You also have premium prices for exclusives, for example, in the mobile world where sometimes a carrier phone company will ask you, "Can I have an

1

2

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

exclusive, first download of your artist?"
They will pay us a premium for that right.
Again, that voids the commoditization and
recognizes the value of, you know, each
individual sound recording that we have.

Q Okay. Now, the fourth bullet up there, first, is something called substitution, and I wonder if you could explain what you're talking about with respect to considering substitution.

Again, as you migrate from Α Sure. the physical world to the digital world, you have a proliferation of different products and services that you're offering. So with respect to digital, you'll have streaming services. They could be passive listening experiences. They could be personalized listening experiences. They could be tethered to a computer or they could be portable. They could be for permanent ownership, and they could be in situations where you not only own it, but you can make

All those instances are ways that

1

2

multiple copies of it or you can put it on multiple devices.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

we can make money off of our sound recordings, and it's important when we're pricing to make sure that our prices reflect for each of those types of uses the value that the consumer is getting, and to the extent that one use is substitutional for another use, that we've made that into the price point on a wholesale basis so that we have the ability to sell multiple products and multiple services to the same end user.

Q Are there some subfactors that go into your assessment of which types of digital distribution methodologies are more or less substitutional?

A Yeah. There's a few that are noted here. One of the things that we look at is the customizability and the personalization of the listening experience. If a consumer gets to hear something that's

1	just what he wants to hear, whether it's on
2	demand or whether it's passively streamed to
3	him, that has a substitutional effect on his
4	willingness to purchase other types of music
5	from us.
6	For example, if you're put into a
7	niche oriented radio station and they're

niche oriented radio station and they're
playing everything that he wants to hear,
you know, we're not going to sell a Greater
6 (phonetic) album or we're not going to
sell a compilation record because all he
needs to do is turn on the radio station and
it's all there for him. So the
customization and the personalization of the
experience whether it's listening
experience, passively or on demand
experience is very important to consider.

Q Are there Internet webcasters out there operating under the statutory license that would rank relatively high on that particular factor?

A Yeah. I mean, on the Internet,

NEAL R. GROSS

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	because it's a very tactile experience, you
2	can click on one radio station, click onto
3	another radio station, click onto another
4	radio station, and they're all listed right
5	before your eyes as opposed to on the radio
6	dial where you have six presets and you only
7	have six choices. On the Internet you have
8	thousands upon thousands and thousands.
9	If you go onto some of the
10	aggregators like Live365, you'll come up

aggregators like Live365, you'll come up with 195 different blues stations, acoustic blues, Cajun blues, Delta blues, Chicago blues. All of those are very narrowly tailored to a user's taste and interest, and those could be very, very substitutional for other services and products that we might want to offer that user.m

Q Now, are there other webcasters out there operating under the statutory license that you view as ranking lower on that factor?

A I mean, there may be some

NEAL R. GROSS

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	simulcasters that have a lower diversity of
2	program, you know, shorter play lists.
3	There may be some DJ banter. So there
4	probably is a range there in terms of
5	customization and personalization even
6	within Internet radio.
7	Q Let me ask you now to
8	hypothetically consider a world in which
9	there is no statutory license for
10	noninteractive webcasting and ask you
11	whether or not there's any kind of
12	webcaster/noninteractive webcaster that you
13	wouldn't insist on getting paid when they
14	use your music.
15	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, Ken
16	Steinthal for DiMA.
17	Objection to the form of the
18	question. It's a hypothetical question.
19	This is a fact witness.
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith?
21	MR. SMITH: I think as the person
22	who negotiates digital deals, he's certainly

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	capable of telling us whether Sony-BMG would
2	expect to get paid for a particular service
3	if it was not mandatory under the statute.
4	We're just talking about the factors that go
5	into that.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: As I
7	understand it, that's different than the
8	question you asked. So the objection is
9	sustained.
10	MR. SMITH: Well, I'll try to
11	rephrase, Your Honor.
12	BY MR. SMITH:
13	Q If you were voluntarily licensing
14	noninteractive webcasting, what would you
15	expect to be paid for the music that is used
16	on those services?
17	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, same
18	objection.
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Same ruling.
20	Mr. Smith, you didn't ask him what he has
21	done. You asked him what he might do.
22	MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. I

1	was trying to get him to apply the factors
2	that he considers in the voluntary
3	marketplace to this hypothetical
4	marketplace. Is the Court's ruling that
5	that is not a proper form of the question,
6	if I might inquire? I'm sorry. I'm just
7	trying to figure out the limits of the
8	ruling.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, I

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, I think the concern I've got is that you've asked him what he might do in a situation. You haven't established that that has been part of his experience or part of what he does.

MR. SMITH: Right. Because of the statutory license he actually doesn't negotiate with the DMCA compliant webcasters. I'm trying to ask him about the hypothetical marketplace, which is the subject matter of this proceeding, and how Sony-BMG would behave if it were a willing seller in that marketplace.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

8-34

1	MR. STEINTHAL: Well, Your Honor,
2	I think that's your task. I think it's the
3	panel's task to take a look at the evidence
4	of the actual markets and everything else
5	and apply it to the hypothetical market, not
6	this fact witness.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other
8	Judge want to hear that?
9	Thank you.
10	BY MR. SMITH:
11	Q Let me ask you about the real
12	world then, Mr. Eisenberg. Can you tell us
13	what sound clips are?
14	A Sound clips are essentially
15	samples or excerpts of our recordings that
16	we licensed to online retailers, like an
17	Amazon or a walmart.com. So if you went
18	onto the website as a consumer and you
19	wanted to look at the track listing and hear
i	

a little 30 second clip or excerpt of that

track to determine whether or not to make a

purchase, you could do so.

20

21

1	Q And are there people out there
2	who make a business out of distributing
3	these small clips of your songs?
4	A Yes, there are service providers
5	who are intermediaries or middle men who
6	essentially ask for a license to digitize
7	our content for that purpose and then
8	essentially resell it or relicense it to
9	front ends or online retailers.
10	Q In negotiating with those people
11	about the price for the sound clips, do you
12	consider them as substitutional in any way?
13	A No, sound clips are not
14	substitutional. No one is going to go to a
15	website and get their fill of a recording
16	through a 30 second sound clip. They're
17	essentially promotional to sell the full
18	length record. It's not an entertainment
19	product as such. It's a sampling experience
20	to purchase a record just like a listening
21	booth is at a Circuit City or Best Buy.

And does Sony-BMG get paid by

Q

1	these businesses that make a business out of
2	distributing these slip samples?
3	A Yes, because, again, it gets back
4	to that first prong here, which is what is
5	the potential distributor made from our
6	music, and to the extent a distributor is
7	making money even in a promotional context,
8	we get paid. So essentially we get paid
9	once they buy the record off of the website,
10	plus from that middle man who's making
11	business off who's selling our clips.
12	Q Okay. We'll come back later on
13	when we get to the restricted portion of
14	your testimony about to the exact amount
15	that you get paid on that.
16	Let me ask you then to finish the
17	other factors that you consider in deciding
18	which services you see as more or less
19	substitutional on your chart. The next one
20	seems to be portability and ubiquity. Can
21	you explain that?

The highest value that a

Α

Sure.

1	consumer might place on music is probably
2	the ability to take that music that he or
3	she bought or has access to and leave the
4	house and go jobbing or go to the
5	supermarket or put it in the car and listen
6	to it any time anywhere. That leads to the
7	portability of that music. So if a user is
8	tethered to his computer and the only way
9	that he can listen to it is on the desktop
10	at his work station, that probably has a
11	lesser value to him than something that's
12	portable and ubiquitous, and therefore, the
13	pricing would reflect that.
14	For example, if a user can only

For example, if a user can only listen to music while at his desktop, he's more apt to buy a digital download or purchase that music in another way as well to get his fill of that sound recording.

Q Why would a person who is only streaming at a desktop be more likely to buy a download?

A Because he can't enjoy that

NEAL R. GROSS

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

8-38

experience anywhere but the four corners of his home. If it's portable, meaning he can put it on an MP3 player or he could burn it onto a disk or he could job with it, it has much more value to the end user than a stream that's tied to a computer.

The ubiquity of the listening experience is also reflected in how you actually get access to the music. In a wireless environment, so when you're buying music over the telephone, you don't even have to be at your desktop to make the purchase. That's how we sell visual downloads through Verizon and Sprint currently, and that's how radios are actually offered, through Verizon and Sprint currently.

So instead of having to go
through the efforts of going to your
computer and downloading it or pressing a
button, you're on the go. You're at the
train station. You're at the bus depot.

1	You hit the button. It's automatically
2	downloaded or accessed through your portable
3	device.
4	That has huge value to the
5	consumer, and it's reflected in the retail
6	price points that consumers are paying for
7	those products.
8	Q Okay. Now, the final factor you
9	have up there is fidelity and
10	transmission?sound quality. Can you explain
11	what that is and how it factors in?
12	A Right. In our voluntary deal, we
13	have control over the sound quality of the
14	file. So if a distributor comes to us and
15	says, "I want to have what we call lost list
16	files," which means there's no degradation
17	of sound. It's even better than the CD
18	quality.
19	They can pay for that extra
20	fidelity, and there are some audio files who
21	are very interested in receiving lost list
22	files or high fidelity files.

1	On the other hand, there may be
2	services that say, "No, it doesn't matter to
3	my end user and I'm willing to cap the
4	fidelity in order to lock in a price with
5	you. So I'll take a lesser rate for capped
6	functionality or capped fidelity, and that's
7	okay with me."
8	In voluntary use we have that
9	control to say, you know, a 32 kilobit
10	stream is worth X and a 192 kilobit stream
11	is worth Y.
12	Q Okay. Now, I want to turn to a
13	slightly different topic, which is the rate
14	structure that you use in your voluntary
15	deals. Again, we're going to get to the
16	details in a little while, but let me ask
17	you is there a way that you seek to get
18	compensated for the music that you sell on
19	the Internet?
20	And in particular I'm asking you
21	now about the greater of formula.

In our voluntary deals, we

Α

Yes.

1	set it up to point to the following
2	framework, and it reflects, again, the value
3	to the end user and the value derived by the
4	potential distributor. So we seek the
5	greater of three prongs. The first is a
6	percentage of the revenue that the potential
7	distributor is making off of our recordings.
8	So can I get into the numbers
9	here?
10	Q No, I think you should wait until
11	we do that service by service. Plus I'll
12	ask the Court to make a restricted portion
13	of the transcript. This is just more of the
14	categories and how they work.
15	A Okay. So the first thing we look
16	at is what is the distributor making off of
17	our recordings, and we take a percentage of
18	what the distributor is deriving from the
19	end user or from advertising or other types
20	of incidents or benefits that he's making
21	from our music.

That's what I would call the up

1	side participation. Then there are two down
2	side safeguards. One is a share of the per
3	subscriber or one is establishing a per
4	subscriber minimum. There are many cases on
5	the Internet and even in the physical world
6	where our product is given away as a lost
7	leader for other purposes. In the physical
8	world, the best case example is Circuit
9	City, which, you know, sells many times our
10	CDs at below cost because they attract the
11	user into the store and they can sell
12	washing machines and dryers and other
13	appliances. It's a good business for them,
14	and we get paid our wholesale price.
15	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, if I
16	can impose an objection on a foundational
17	basis about what Circuit City is doing and
18	why it's doing it, it's clearly hearsay
19	unless there's a foundation for it.
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith.
21	MR. SMITH: First of all, hearsay
I	

is admissible in this proceeding, Your

22

8-42

1	Honor, and he's been in the record business
2	for 12 years. He's talking about one of
3	their biggest retailers, and he's just
4	talking about something that I think
5	everybody in the record business knows.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Objection
7	overruled.
8	THE WITNESS: So just like in the
9	digital world, service providers and portals
10	often use their music as lost leaders or
11	magnets to attract an audience to their
12	site. So if we didn't have a per subscriber
13	minimum in those cases, a revenue share
14	could be a healthy percentage of zero. So
15	we need down side protection to safeguard
16	against our product being given away for
17	other purposes.
18	Another safeguard that we have is
19	a per play minimum. That is, again, a
20	safeguard against a lot of usage or
21	consumption. To the extent a user plays our

music time and time again, particularly, in

1	a portable or ubiquitous setting where, you
2	know, 24-7 unless they sleeping they could
3	play our music. That's substituting or
4	taking away from revenue that we would
5	otherwise be making from that same user as
6	he listens to our music in other
7	configurations.
8	So we had a greater of formula.
9	The percentage of what the distributor is
10	making against to minimum a per
11	subscriber minimum and a per play minimum.
12	BY MR. SMITH:
13	Q You used the term "pro rata
14	share" twice on there both with respect to
15	the revenue percentage and the per
16	subscriber minimum. Can you just explain
17	that a little more, in more detail about how
18	that works?
19	A Sure. So I won't get into the
20	numbers, but if you ever if we get X
21	percent of a distributor's receipts,

obviously we weren't the only -- they are

1	licensing or distributing music from
2	multiple record companies. We aren't the
3	only company who are providing content to
4	them. So we take a pro rata share of a pool
5	of money from a distributor, and typically
6	that's a ratio based upon the percentage of
7	our plays relative to the total number of
8	plays in the service.

Q Now, could I ask you? In these voluntary deals, what would be the effect of having a lesser of formula with these three factors rather than a greater of formula?

A Well, lesser of formula would be terrific because, for example, if you have got a very healthy percentage of a retailer's or a distributor's receipts but there were no receipts because he gave the music away to sell something else, then you get, you know, a high percentage of nothing. So it's very important to have greater of formula so that you're protected on the consumption and also on the up side of what

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	the distributor is making from your content.
2	Q I'm going to put up another chart
3	here and ask you if you could to talk about
4	some of the non-price terms in your
5	voluntary deals and why you think they're
6	important. Does this chart reflect some of
7	the central non-price terms that you assist
8	on in your voluntary deals?
9	A It's a good representation.
LO	Maybe I'll skip around out of order and talk
L1	about some of the ones that are of very,
L2	very significant importance.
L3	First, first off, in our
L4	voluntary deals we have control over what
L5	repertoire gets distributed we're a
L6	distributor and when, and this allows us
L7	to essentially hold back certain hit product
L8	or window certain hit product.
L9	Q Okay. What does the term
20	"window" mean?
21	A A window is a timing of the
,,	release So for example if we have a hit

single that is playing on the radio, there
are a lot of people who may want to download
that track. If we're able to offer it up as
a download before it hits radio, then those
people who buy that track more readily than
if they could just turn on the radio and
listen to it time and time again.

So you're trying to window your product in terms of functionality so that you kind of, you know, maximize the potential just like the movie business does. In the theaters, for example, you'll have a widescreen release of a motion picture. If that motion picture were available on broadcast television free or ad supported at the same time it was in the theaters, you'd have a lot less people going to the theaters and that's why there's segmenting and sequencing of the windows in the intellectual property business.

Q Okay. How about another one of the terms that you usually insist on in your

8-48

agreements?

We always get premiums for what I would call wireless or portable functionality. This is along the lines of what I talked about before. If you can listen to something any time anywhere, it's going to displace your sales or your ability to derive revenue from that same user of that same recording or other recordings in other contexts.

So that's also reflected in the retail prices of services. So, for example, subscription services on the market,
Napster, for example, has a Napster tethered service, which you listen to at your desktop, and they have a Napster to go service, which you can listen to on an MP3 player. The portable service is priced -- has a retail price of \$15, and the tethered service has a retail price of \$10. It's reflected in the value to the end user.

And our wholesale pricing

similarly reflects the value.

Q Okay. So I guess we have one price term that sort of slipped into this list of non-price terms. Let me ask you while we're talking about that though. Are there services out there that are webcasting in a wireless mode and using the statutory license?

A Yeah. Under DMCA we don't have control over whether it's a portable experience or a tethered experience. So, for example, Sprint has three radio stations on their handset, on their cell phone. We have not voluntarily licensed them. So I have to glean from that unless they are copyright infringers that they've taken DMCA licenses and are paying the standard rates that a tethered service is paying for computer delivered web radio as opposed to over-the-air delivered web radio.

Q Okay. Can you give us another example of a term that you insist on in your

8-50

voluntary deals?

A Sure. In our voluntary deals, we have certain obligations that are imposed upon the distributor to promote and market artists in our sound recordings. So not only do they pay us for usage. They actually have an obligation on top of that to promote and expose and to push certain content to the end user.

Pushing a content does two
things. It increases our play counts. When
you do an allocation of the revenues, our
plays are actually higher and our revenues
are higher. It also exposes our artists to
their user base in a way that maybe perhaps
they couldn't find them otherwise if it was
a large service and they could get loss in
the sea of recordings, you know, among the
hundreds of thousands and millions of
recordings that are otherwise available.

Q You have up there also bit rate limitations. Can you explain that?

NEAL R. GROSS

1	A Sure. We talked a little bit
2	before about when we enter into voluntary
3	deals we have control over the fidelity of
4	the listening experience. So to the extent
5	a distributor wants to have high fidelity,
6	high quality files, they'll pay more for
7	that. If they're not willing to or don't
8	really care about the fidelity to their end
9	user, we can cap that by contract in the
10	agreement so that they can't stream or
11	provide files in a high quality fashion, and
12	that's reflected, again, in the wholesale
13	pricing and typically in the retail pricing
14	as well.

Q I wanted to touch upon the electronic reporting because that's extremely important for us. We live and breathe by sales and marketing reports as a business in real time essentially. So, you know, with all of our traditional accounts in retail, we have our system set up with the storage. We know who signed what and

NEAL R. GROSS

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

what demographic and when. We could tie it
to what's happening in a local market. We
can push one single over another single
depending upon usage, and we get a lot of
instantaneous feedback from our accounts,
and we do that o n the digital side as well

So each of our digital accounts, we have a specification that they have to report back to us on, and it's segmented by retailer so that we know what retailer is selling or playing what. There's demographic information. There's timeliness of reporting. So we get weekly reports on the sales front and accountings on a monthly basis so that we can process it for payments to artists and to publishers.

For the DMCA licenses we don't get any type of reporting that's worthwhile. It's all lumped together. In fact, we don't even know what Yahoo is streaming versus what AOL is streaming versus what MSN is streaming. All of the payments come into

NEAL R. GROSS

1	one big bucket and they're delayed by, you
2	know, sometimes six months to a year. Now
3	only does it delay us or is there a delay in
4	getting payment, but just as importantly,
5	the information, the information flow of
6	what is receiving a lot of air play and who
7	likes what doesn't help us in guiding us
8	through the rest of our business.
9	Q Now, there's also audit rights up
10	there. Can you explain that?
11	A Yeah. In each of our deals we
12	have the right to go in and audit and the
13	audit relates not only to financial
14	reporting, but also to technical measures.
15	We want to make sure that, you know, files
16	are not being breached, that security
17	measures are being taken by the service
18	providers.
19	It gets back to the security
20	guarantees. We have DRM representations
21	that are made by our service providers.

I think you're going to have to

22

8-53

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

define that for us.

Okay. The files are wrapped, for example, on a download so that you can't redistribute it to another user once you've downloaded it without that user paying essentially a fee for another purchase. It's very important because if you see what is happening on P2P networks where these files are opened, you know, those are all lost sales opportunities for us because essentially it's stolen property that's getting transmitted across the Internet.

The security guarantees allow us to go into the service provider to check their server logs and make sure that there's no stealing going on, that there are no security breaches and, in fact, what is being reported back to us is an accurate representation of the activity that's going on.

Let me ask you. Is Sony-BMG able 0 to insist on any of these contractual terms

NEAL R. GROSS

1	with regard to the statutory DMCA compliant
2	webcasters?
3	A We have no ability to do any
4	technical audits under the Sound Exchange.
5	Q How about with regard to the rest
6	of the terms that you've been discussing for
7	the last few minutes?
8	A No. None of these are none of
9	these factors are baked into the statutory
10	license. We lose all control over those
11	things.
12	Q Let me ask you about in its
13	voluntary deals does Sony-BMG enter into
14	deals with a term as long as five years?
15	A No. In the Internet space, five
16	years is eternity. The importance I notice
17	from being in there for quite some time now,
18	the importance is being able to react to the
19	market and respond, you know, with quickness
20	and deftness to different business models,
21	and therefore, our licensing activities are
22	really confined to a year or two years at

3	1
1	best because if we so-called screwed up on
2	the rates and didn't see something coming
3	down the pike, we can fix it on the renewal
4	term.
5	The statutory license is for a
6	five-year term, and you don't know what will
7	happen in the market within five years, and
8	it's very it's a big risk for us in doing
9	a long term deal.
10	MR. SMITH: Okay. Now, at this
11	point, Your Honor, I want to make a motion
12	to have the rest of Mr. Eisenberg's direct
13	testimony be subject to the protective order
14	and restricted. It's going to discuss the
15	specific price terms of their voluntary
16	deals. That's a significant portion of his
17	testimony and it involves voluntary deals
18	for all of the various categories of digital
19	distribution that he has been discussing
20	this morning.
21	MR. STEINTHAL: No objection,
	1

Your Honor.

8-57

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
2	The motion is for the application of the
3	protective order on testimony on pricing
4	agreements in which Sony is a party.
5	Any objection?
6	MR. STEINTHAL: No objection.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
8	objection, the motion is granted.
9	(Whereupon, at 10:23 a.m., the
10	hearing was recessed, to reconvene
11	immediately in closed session.)

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
2	We'll return to order.
3	Mr. Steinthal.
4	CROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
6	Q Good morning, Mr. Eisenberg. How
7	are you?
8	A Very good. Thank you.
9	Q Mr. Eisenberg, as you know, I'm
10	Ken Steinthal. I'm representing DiMA and
11	AOL, Yahoo and Microsoft and Live365 in this
12	proceeding.
13	I want to start with the Sound
14	Exchange Demo No. 28. This was essentially
15	extracted from a memo that you had written
16	to some senior executives as Sony about
17	Internet and streaming radio deals that had
18	been done; is that right?
19	A I believe that some of those
20	elements may have come from a memo, yes.
21	Q Let's take a look at that memo.
22	We'll mark this as Services Exhibit 41.

1	(Whereupon, the document
2	referred to was marked
3	as Services Exhibit No.
4	41 for identification.)
5	MR. STEINTHAL: Services Exhibit
6	41 is a memorandum from Larry Kanusher upon
7	which you're copied, dated June 5, 2003.
8	And Services Exhibit 42 is a memo
9	from you to M. Anthony, A. Lack, R. Wilcox,
10	and P. Wiser, dated July 15th, 2003.
11	(Whereupon, the document
12	referred to was marked
13	as Services Exhibit No.
14	42 for identification.)
15	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
16	Q First of all, I just want to ask
17	a question about the documents. Why don't
18	you, if you can, identify both of these
19	documents for the benefit of the panel and
20	who the people are in the "from" and "to"
21	category so that they can get a sense of who
22	the dialogue was being had with here?

1	A Okay. I'll start with Exhibit
2	42, 4094. Is that 42?
3	Q Sure, start with 42, which is
4	your memo.
5	A Okay. This is a memo that I
6	authored to Michelle Anthony, who was an
7	Executive Vice President at Sony Music
8	Entertainment; Andrew Lack, who was our CDO;
9	Ron Wilcox, who was head of Overall Business
10	Affairs at Sony Music; and Phil Wiser, who
11	was heading up our Business Development at
12	the time.
13	Q And what was the purpose of your
14	creating Exhibit 42 at the time?
15	A Mr. Lack had just joined the
16	company as our new CEO. So we were
17	basically briefing him on different areas of
18	the company. I undertook to give him a
19	primer from a 30,000 foot view of, you know,
20	the Internet space, streaming radio space to
21	acclimate him to some areas and principles
22	that he may not have otherwise been familiar

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	with.
2	Q And I gather you sought to be
3	accurate in drafting this memorandum to Mr.
4	Lack?
5	A I tried to be.
6	MR. STEINTHAL: I would offer
7	Exhibit 42 in evidence.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any
9	objection to Exhibit 42?
10	MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor.
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It's
12	admitted.
13	(Whereupon, the document
14	marked Services Exhibit
15	No. 42 for iden-
16	tification was received
17	in evidence.)
18	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I do
19	think it should be marked as restricted in
20	the record. We talked before about how
21	certain documents are restricted. This is
22	certainly a restricted document.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. STEINTHAL: I'm afraid, Your
2	Honor, that most of my cross examination is
3	going to touch upon either this memorandum
4	or other memoranda that have been designated
5	as restricted or some of the deals. Now,
6	when we get to the deals, I can dance around
7	it as I try to without mentioning numbers,
8	but certainly I'm sure that we'll spend a
9	bit of time on these two memos right now,
10	and they have been marked as restricted.
11	I'll abide by whatever Mr. Smith
12	wants to do, subject to the Court's ruling,
13	of course.
14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I'm not sure
15	what ruling is asked for.
16	MR. SMITH: Well, I would make a
17	motion to have any questioning relating to
18	these memos or to the price terms that were
19	discussed in the direct both have the
20	documents admitted subject to the protective
21	order and have the proceedings be subject to

22

the protective order.

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any
2	objection to the implementation of the
3	protective order for the questions examining
4	related to Exhibits 41 and 42?
5	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, let
6	me say this about it. A lot of the
7	examination will be about licensing strategy
8	and structures and not about specific deals.
9	Now, I understand this document is
10	designated as restricted, and indeed, in its
11	first incarnation, when it was produced to
12	us, it had some specific restricted
13	information. But if it's okay with Mr.
14	Smith to keep it as public record as long as
15	we're just talking about the strategies and
16	the structures and not getting into the
17	dollars and the cents as to specific deals,
18	I'm certainly happy to conduct the
19	examination that way.
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith.
21	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, it's an
22	internal business document involving the

1	senior management of the company about their
2	strategy, and I don't think that it
3	ordinarily would be the kind of document
4	that you would be examining on in an open
5	court. We produced it subject to a restrict
6	because it's a confidential matter, and I
7	think that it deserves to be protected.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
9	Well, that will require review by the Court
10	of the document in order to make that
11	analysis.
12	We'll recess while we review the
13	document.
14	MR. STEINTHAL: You might want to
15	take Exhibit 41 as well, Your Honor, because
16	it's the same issue, I'm sure.
17	Is that right, Mr. Smith?
18	MR. SMITH: That's correct, Your
19	Honor.
20	MR. STEINTHAL: And I will be
21	moving that into evidence. I doubt that
22	there will be an objection on that

NEAL R. GROSS

1	particular document.
2	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
3	went off the record at 11:18 a.m.
4	and went back on the record at
5	11:26 a.m.)
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
7	We'll return to order.
8	On a review of Exhibits 41 and
9	42, the Court concludes that the choice is
10	either to separate the exhibits into what
11	fits within the provisions of the protective
12	order and what doesn't, and these exhibits
13	have been produced in discovery under the
14	terms of the protective order and no party
15	has objected to that classification in the
16	terms. And with these two factors, the
17	motion is granted for the exhibits and for
18	the testimony related to the exhibits.
19	MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your
20	Honor.
21	MR. STEINTHAL: We will then go
22	into a restricted session.

1	(Whereupon, at 11:27 a.m., the
2	hearing was recessed, to reconvene
3	immediately in closed session.)

1	FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. BROWN:
3	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Eisenberg.
4	A Hello.
5	Q None of the agreements you
6	reference in your written testimony or in
7	testimony here today involved NPR or NPR
8	Public Radio Stations, do they?
9	A I'm looking at it.
10	Q Feel free to go back through your
11	testimony if you need to.
12	A I don't recall testifying about
13	NPR in my earlier session today.
14	Q And do you recall in your written
15	testimony referencing any agreements that
16	Sony-BMG has entered with NPR or NPR
17	stations?
18	A I don't recall any being cited in
19	the testimony.
20	Q I'd like you to turn to page 8 of
21	your written testimony, please. About
22	halfway down the page you say, "Specifically

with respect to assessing the rates and terms that may be appropriate for a particular circumstance, Sony-BMG also takes into account additional factors, such as the intrinsic value of music and the desire to avoid its commoditization, and you talked about that earlier with Mr. Steinthal; is that right?

A That's correct.

Q And that means in terms of commoditization and the intrinsic value of music your goal is to develop an appreciation in the computer for the musician and the music being performed by that musician; is that correct?

A And the economic value that derives from that.

Q And do you think noninteractive

DMCA compliant webcasting that provides

detailed biographical information about the

artist whose music is being streamed

interview with the artist historical

NEAL R. GROSS

information about the music performed by the artist and other background features helps the consumer to develop a deeper appreciation for the musician and the music performed by the musician?

A Editorials on our artists are good in terms of giving exposures to our artists. It doesn't necessarily mean that those same types of editorial elements can otherwise be found on portals that don't offer our music. News an AMG offer biographical information about our artists, but don't have radio streams attached to their editorial.

Assuming that streams are attached to those editorials and features about the artists, would you assume that those types of streams in conjunction with those editorial features would help develop an appreciation for the musician and music performed by that musician?

A It could. I mean, that doesn't

NEAL R. GROSS

address the intrinsic value of the music, 1 which I was talking about in terms of the economic value of the music, but in terms of 3 does it help familiarize the public about 4 our artists' editorials is very good. 5 6 And avoid what you have termed as 7 commoditization because it's providing detail and background about the music and an 8 9 appreciation for the artist? No, the commoditization isn't 10 Α addressed unless we're getting paid for the 11 content in a way that remunerates us for the 12 costs that are involved in creating the 13 So an appreciation for the artist 14 content. 15 is great, but if it doesn't drive sales of our product, it doesn't really help us. 16 17 And an appreciation for the artist that might be coupled with a click 18 through would be closer to commoditization? 19 Well, if the click through 20 Α

results in a sale, then you decommoditized

it, so to speak. If the click through just

21

1	sits there and nobody clicks through the
2	link, then it really doesn't help address
3	this commoditization point.
4	Q Are you aware that NPR is a
5	nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization?
6	A I don't know for a fact.
7	Q Are you aware that two-thirds of
8	the public radio stations NPR represents in
9	this proceeding are associated with
10	colleges and universities?
11	A I don't know the statistics.
12	Q Would you agree that educational
13	nonprofit webcasters have very different
14	goals from commercial webcasters?
15	A I wouldn't know what their goals
16	are.
17	Q Well, would you agree that part
18	of a nonprofit mandate if part of the
19	mandate for NPR is to provide news and
20	educational content free of charge to
21	consumers, that that's a different mandate
22	than a for-profit entity that is seeking to

1	make money off of webcasting?
2	A I'm not sure what you mean by
3	"mandate."
4	Q A mission to provide content,
5	educational and news content to the public
6	that's part of the mission and foundation of
7	the 501(c)(3) entity.
8	A And I'm sorry. What was the
9	question?
10	Q The question is do you think that
11	there's a difference between a 501(c)(3)
12	nonprofit with a mission to provide news and
13	educational content to the public in as many
14	means possible, including streaming, is
15	different from a for-profit entity whose
16	mission is to make a profit from streaming?
17	A Not in terms of the
18	substitutionality and commoditization of our
19	product. It doesn't help us if music is out
20	in the public for people to consume and it
21	displaces other types of products and sales
22	in the marketplace that we're trying to sell

1	to the public. It doesn't help us if the
2	webcaster doesn't make any money off of that
3	transaction if we're still harmed.
4	So we looked at substitutionality
5	in the context of what is the webcaster
6	doing with respect to our content. Whether
7	they're making money from it or not doesn't
8	address the substitutionality element.
9	Q Would you agree or disagree with
10	this statement? "We know, for example, that
11	NPR's morning edition and all things
12	considered and weekend edition and Fresh
13	Air, all sell records and a lot of records."
14	A I'm sorry. Who made that
15	statement?
16	Q Bruce Iglauer, President of
17	Allegator Records.
18	A I have no basis for Allegator
19	Records is not part of my company. So I
20	don't know what they've done with NPR to
21	help or, you know, promote their records.
22	Q Do you know if Sony has had any

1	musicians or performers featured on NPR or
2	NPR Websites?
3	A It's possible.
4	Q Are you aware that NPR and NPR
5	member stations do not allow the creation of
6	customized channels for streaming?
7	A And what do you mean by
8	customized when you're saying that?
9	Q Customized by the user. So genre
10	specific types of channels.
11	A They don't offer genre channels
12	or they don't all the user to make
13	Q They don't allow the user to do
14	those.
15	A Because if they did, they would
16	probably be outside of Section 114. So
17	that's probably why they don't.
18	Q Are you aware that the streaming
19	offered through MPR and NPR member stations
20	is free, that you don't have to pay a fee to
21	access the sites?
22	A I don't know that for a fact, but

1	if you're representing that as a given, I'm
2	not going to
3	Q I'm just asking you.
4	A I don't know.
5	Q And do you think that NPR invests
6	time and resources in presenting artists and
7	their work as part of its educational public
8	service mission?
9	A I have no knowledge of that.
10	Q Do you know of any reason why
11	the specific manner and detailed context in
12	which public radio presents streamed music
13	should not be considered when establishing
14	an appropriate rate for NPR in this
15	proceeding?
16	A Yes. We look at two elements
17	pricing our music. One is the value that
18	the economic value that's derived by the
19	service or the service provider. That's one
20	prong. The other prong is the
21	substitutionality or commoditization or
	II

intrinsic value of the music that's given to

1	the end user. On that first prong if the
2	Bandaid as you say is for NPR not to make
3	any money, you know, that perhaps addresses
4	that prong or that element of the analysis.
5	But on the first prong, NPR or
6	any non-com for that matter gives our music
7	away and competes with the other products we
8	have in the marketplace, we would be hard
9	pressed to make the returns that we need to
10	make as a business if we're competing in the
11	marketplace at rates that are substandard.
12	Q Do you have any basis, any
13	studies, any analysis that you have
14	conducted or reviewed to show that NPR our
15	its member stations and the manner in which
16	streaming is done on those stations in any
17	manner displaces sales, NPR specifically?
18	A I don't have an NPR related
19	study.
20	MS. BROWN: Your Honor, can I
21	just have one second?
22	(Pause in proceedings.)

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

BY MS. BROWN:

Q Mr. Eisenberg, to the extent the statute governing this proceeding requires that consideration be given to the economic risk and value that the webcasters put into webcasting, have you taken those factors into consideration?

A In terms of what?

Q In respect to the last question that I asked you in terms of the value that the webcasters are providing in this proceeding and the rate that should be established.

A I'm not establishing a rate. I'm making an argument as to why we think we believe or we believe or need to have a certain rate, and what I'm looking at it from the record company's perspective. I imagine that the Judges will take into account all of the criteria in the statute when rendering the panel's decision.

Q But you're saying you have not

NEAL R. GROSS

1	taken those factors into consideration in
2	terms of your answers for any of the
3	questions that I've asked?
4	A Probably not.
5	Q Is there any economic value for a
6	nonprofit associated with webcasting, DMCA
7	compliant webcasting?
8	A Is there any economic value to
9	whom?
10	Q For a nonprofit.
11	A I'm not sure I understand the
12	question. Is there any economic benefit
13	derived by a non-com in webcasting?
14	Q Is there any economic value
15	associated with webcasting for a nonprofit?
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Ms. Brown,
17	that question doesn't make sense. He's
18	trying to ask you to whom.
19	MS. BROWN: To the artist and to
20	the record company. I'm sorry that was
21	unclear.
22	THE WITNESS: I think it's the

opposite. Well, it depends on whether
you're paying or the non-com is paying for
the stream. If the non-com is paying for
the stream, then, yes, there's an economic
benefit. If the non-com is paying a lesser
rate, then there's a displacement of an
economic revenue stream that the artist and
the record company would have gotten had the
listener listened to that stream from a
different broadcaster.
MS. BROWN: No further questions.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any further
cross examination of this witness?
(No response.)
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith,
any redirect?
MR. SMITH: I have only about
five minutes, Your Honor. I appreciate your
letting us get this done.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.
Steinthal wonders if that is a measure of
minutes or

1	(Laughter.)
2	MR. SMITH: I hope I end up with
3	a better estimate reputation.
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. SMITH:
6	Q Mr. Eisenberg, there was some
7	discussion earlier in your cross examination
8	about the dispute between Yahoo and
9	Launchcast, on one side, and Sony, on the
10	other side, and the other record labels
11	about whether certain features of Launchcast
12	allowed that service to fall within the
13	statutory license or fall outside the
14	statutory license. Do you recall that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And that was a dispute that led
17	to litigation?
18	A Yes.
19	Q I wonder if you could tell the
20	Board the features that Yahoo claimed that
21	it was allowed to have on its service and
22	stay within the statutory license.

1	A They allowed the users to make
2	certain preferences as to songs, I believe
3	songs, artists, and albums. So you would
4	rank or rate like or dislike either when the
5	song came up or before you were put into a
6	particular play list, and those preferences
7	would result in a generation of a play list
8	that was customized for the end user.
9	Q So does it produce a separate
10	station just for that person?
11	MR. STEINTHAL: Objection, Your
12	Honor. Leading.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustained.
14	BY MR. SMITH:
15	Q How does the customization get
16	effected on the site?
17	A You take in your preferences and
18	then you deliver a, quote, my station. So
19	it's my favorite rock station, my favorite -
20	- you can have multiple types of favorite
21	stations, but of stations delivered that's

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

customized or narrowly tailored on a one-to-

one basis to the end user. 1 And what position is Yahoo 2 0 currently taking in the litigation about 3 whether those kinds of features are 4 permissible on DMCA compliant webcasting? 5 Right now they're still 6 7 litigating against BMG, arguing that they are, in fact, compliant with Section 114. 8 9 Now, switching topics, I Okay. wonder if you could describe quickly the 10 ways in which the digital marketplace for 11 12 delivery of music have changed since 2003. Portability has become a lot more 13 Α prevalent than it used to be. 14 I believe 15 actually in 2003, when you wanted to listen 16 to a stream you were, in fact, tethered to 17 So if you went to a your computer. 18 subscription service and you signed up for 19 Napster or Rhapsody, you had to listen to 20 the music at your desktop. 21 Now, we have what are called 22 Janus devices which allow you to take a

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

subscription listen and put it onto a MP3 player and walk around with it and have it ubiquitously.

Also, on the teleco side of things, Verizon, Sprint and a lot of other carriers are offering third generation broadband services over the phone where now you can receive deliveries not even at your computer but on the go. So not only can you listen to it on the go. You can purchase or request the stream or the download on the go.

Q Are there other changes that come to mind?

A I mean the promotional aspects of the business have changed in terms of digital. As I mentioned before, things that we used to give away on a gratis basis, all of the products are now monetized in the digital marketplace, video streaming being a very good example of that.

O Have your own assessments of the

	1
1	substitutional and promotional effects of
2	various forms of delivery changed since
3	2003?
4	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I
5	don't think that's something I covered on my
6	cross.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith.
8	MR. STEINTHAL: I think it's
9	beyond the scope.
10	MR. SMITH: We had an hour of
11	cross examination about memos written in
12	2003 where conclusions about those issues
13	were discussed, and I'm merely trying to ask
14	him whether his understandings have changed
15	since those memos were written.z
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
17	THE WITNESS: Our view of
18	substitutionality has changed somewhat in
19	the past three years as to what constitutes
20	substitutional behavior. We are competing
21	in a marketplace for the consumer's
22	listening time, whether it be through

Internet radio services, through on demand subscription services, through digital downloads, through pay per view streams or pay per downloads over the cellular network.

We've also learned through the subscription services that it's not all about an on demand experience. It's about personalization. It's about personal shopping or preferences being suited or customized for the end user.

spectrums or continuums in the past, we had initially thought, you know, the most substitutional aspect of our business might be an on demand stream when, in fact, if a pushed program that's narrowly tailored to an end user, interactive radio, for example, which is offered through a subscription service, those services compete for users' time or even a more passive experience like DMCA compliant radio that's very narrowly, thinly drawn like it is on the Live365 or

1.3

MSN or AOL, where you can receive very niche	
oriented programming and you can kick back	
and listen for four hours at a time on very	
good speakers, on a sound system that	
parallels that of the living room	
experience.	
Those are substitutional	

Those are substitutional experiences because we're trying to reach the consumer for the same listening time that he's spending elsewhere.

BY MR. SMITH:

Q Okay. Let me turn to another topic and ask you to pull out Exhibit 45, which was the E-mail to you from Mr. Roback with an attachment that was admitted into evidence. Would you turn to the third page of this exhibit?

Now, it's Bates page 81446. Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q Under the heading "Stats for Artist Y," it says there, promotion date,

NEAL R. GROSS

1	May 2003, length of promo, 14 days, first
2	week air play, 1,830,000 plays, second week
3	air play, 1,270,000 plays, add audience,
4	32,000,000 impressions, other visibility,
5	92,100,000 impressions, total impressions,
6	127,200,000 impressions.
7	Let me ask you: when you do the
8	kinds of promotional arrangements that
9	you've talked about with the portals, do
10	they sometimes last a matter of two or three
11	weeks at a time?
12	A Yes. Sometimes they could last,
13	you know, a little bit longer, but I would
14	say anywhere between three days and 30 days.
15	Q Do they include a combination of
16	various kinds of exposure of the artist on
17	the portal?
18	A We wouldn't do a promotion if it
19	only related to radio play. I mean if you
20	look at the number of impressions delivered
21	up by radio here and they are very

insignificant in relation to the other

1	impressions that Yahoo can deliver up
2	through the portal experience, a key to
3	Yahoo is the impressions that you're getting
4	on the home page and the music page, not
5	necessarily the radio plays.
6	Q Do you have an understanding of
7	what is meant by add audience and other
8	visibility, those two lines?
9	A I don't know exactly what they
10	are, but they relate to the portal activity.
11	Q Okay. Now, in your voluntary
12	deal for custom radio and other forms of
13	digital delivery, Mr. Eisenberg, do you use
14	the term "content preparation fee"?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And could you tell the Board what
17	that is?
18	A When we deliver files, encoded
19	files, to our service providers, there's a
20	lot of work entailed in prepping those
21	files. I think before there was a question
22	asked as to whether there were any costs in

digital delivery. There are, as I said, 1 some very, very significant costs, one of them being delivering files to our service 3 4 provider. So we typically ask the service 5 providers in a voluntary deal to reimburse 6 7 us for those costs which are quite significant. 8 Now, you were asked by Mr. 9 0 Steinthal to assume that the revenues of all 10 11 four majors have not declined and product 12 sales for all four majors have not declined 13 in the past five years. Do you recall that? 14 Α Yes. 15 Now, how would the phenomenon of 0 Sony-BMG having acquired Zomba records 16 17 affect those statistics? 18 MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, my 19 objection is that I didn't ask him to assume. I asked him whether he knew. There 20 21 was no assumption in my question. This

question assumes that, and it also has a

1	predicate. So I object.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith,
3	I'm further confused by your question. What
4	does relation of an internal action within
5	Sony have to do with his lack of knowledge
6	as to what the other three major labels have
7	grown or not grown in the last few year?
8	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'll
9	rephrase the question.
10	BY MR. SMITH:
11	Q In your judgement, Mr. Eisenberg,
12	is it the best way to look at the revenues
13	of the industry in the past five years to
14	look just at the revenues of the four major
15	companies and not the others?
16	A Typically people look at the four
17	majors. You could look at the whole
18	industry, and I'm sure MP and RAA have
19	numbers about the industry.
20	Q If you do look at the four
21	majors, do acquisitions by the majors of
22	other smaller record companies affect the

1	statistics?
2	A Well, for Sony-BMG, it would
3	certainly affect our numbers. When we
4	acquired Zomba our revenues went up for that
5	year because we agreed to a lot more
6	copyrights and a lot more sales. So in that
7	one year there was an aberration because
8	sales may have gone up.
9	But that was because we were
10	taking into our company a basically new
11	company and creating a lot more sales to
12	that.
13	MR. SMITH: I think that's
14	sufficient, Your Honor. Thank you.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
16	Mr. Steinthal.
17	RECROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
19	Q Mr. Eisenberg, very briefly, Mr.
20	Smith asked you about portability yet again,
21	and he mentioned some of these subscription
22	services. When Sony licenses a subscription

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

service like MusicNet or Napster, the new
Napster, which has the ability to sell to
subscribers on demand streaming and limited
download services, I believe you testified
that one of the changes in the last few
years is portability, right? That these
services can now offer their consumers the
ability to access on demand streaming,
unlimited downloads on a portable basis, as
well as on their laptop, right?

A Or desktop, yes.

Q Isn't it true that in your deals

with on demand streaming and conditional download services -- and I'm afraid I'm going to have to pause because I'm going to put some numbers out here. So if I can ask that we restrict this question and answer out of respect for the positions we've taken before, Your Honor.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: The question is about what?

MR. SMITH: I'm about to quote

NEAL R. GROSS

the fees that they charge on a percentage of
revenue basis to these on demand streaming
and conditional download services, to ask a
couple of questions about how they vary, if
at all, in relation to portable services and
non-portable services.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any

objection to going under the provisions of the protective order for the questions as just outlined?

(No response.)

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, the motion is granted.

(Whereupon, at 5:36 p.m., the hearing was reconvened in closed session.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in the matter of:

> The Digital Performance Right in Sound Recording and Ephemeral Recordings (Webcasting Rate Adjustment Proceeding)

Before: Copyright Royalty Board

Date:

Thursday, May 11, 2006

Place:

Washington, D.C.

represents the full and complete proceedings of aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

NEAL R. GROSS